

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,580	02/08/2002	Janos Bodor	F7589(V)	1805
201 759	90 02/12/2003			
UNILEVER			EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPARTMENT			SPIVACK, PHYLLIS G	
45 RIVER ROA				
EDGEWATER, NJ 07020			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003	;

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/072,580 Applicant(s)

Bodor et al.

Examiner

Phyllis G. Spivack

Art Unit 1614



The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). I mailing date of this communication.	In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action	ction is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>	is/are rejected.			
7)	is/are objected to.			
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	y to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:				
1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International But *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the second control of the priority application. 				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provision	nal application has been received.			
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)	_			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/072580

Art Unit: 1614

The undersigned Examiner supports the goal of the Office to advance prosecution as expediently as is reasonably possible. Cooperation is requested with respect to the timely submission of any references deemed pertinent to the present application along with Form PTO-1449.

Claims 1-10 are presented and represent all of the claims under consideration.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention.

The recitations in claim 1 and claim 3 "at least 5 mg/kg statins" and "the amount of statin is 5-500 mg/kg", respectively, are directed the amounts of statins that are out of the therapeutic ranges for lovastatin, mevastatin and pravastatin. Accordingly, the claims lack clarity.

Clarification is required as to the specific statins contemplated.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoie, L.H., WO 97/31546.

Application/Control Number: 10/072580

Art Unit: 1614

Hoie teaches food products comprising more than 5 gm of soy protein optionally in

combination with a statin. See Examples 1, 2 and 6, pages 11, 12 and 17, respectively, as well as

claims 25 and 26. Genistein and genistin are soybean isoflavones that are natural components of

soy protein preparations. Hoie teaches food products as beverages and baked goods in which his

disclosed food compositions may be prepared. The claims differ with respect to the source of the

soy protein. However, one skilled in the art would have been motivated to seek a soy ingredient

that provides a reduction in low density lipoproteins (LDL). Such would have been obvious in

the absence of evidence to the contrary because Hoie clearly establishes a lowering of LDL-

cholesterol concentrations through an increase in soy protein ingestion, optionally in combination

with a statin. One skilled in the art of formulation chemistry would have been motivated to seek a

food product in which the soy protein is obtained through a process that provides an optimal

LDL-lowering effect. The determination of optimal concentrations of the active ingredients is a

parameter well within the purview of those skilled in the art.

No claim is allowed.

Wang et al., Life Sciences (abstract), is cited to show further the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Phyllis Spivack at

telephone number 703-308-4703.

February 7, 2003

Phyllis Spriack

PATENT EXAMINES
GROUP = 1614

Page 3